



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,058	02/04/2004	Kayhan Kucukcakar	SYN-0513	5479
35273	7590	06/11/2009		EXAMINER
BEVER, HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP				PATEL, SHAMBHAVI K
901 CAMPISI WAY				
SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMPBELL, CA 95008			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/773,058	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUCUKCAKAR ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> SHAMBHAVI PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2128

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2009.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1.3-9, 12-19, 25, 27-30, 32-43, 45, 47-53, 55-62, 64-70, 72-78 and 83-96 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1, 3-9, 12-19, 25, 27-30, 32-43, 45, 47-53, 55-62, 64-70, 72-78 and 83-96 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1, 3-9, 12-19, 25, 27-30, 32-43, 45, 47-53, 55-62, 64-70, 72-78 and 83-96 have been presented for examination.

**Response to Arguments**

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejection of claims 1, 3-9, 12-19, 25, 27-30, 32-43, 45, 47-53, 55-62, 64-70, 72-78 submitted 17 March 2009 have been considered but are not persuasive.

- i. **Applicant submits**, on pages 21-23 of the remarks, regarding claim 1, that Schultz does not disclose the newly added limitations.

**Examiner notes** that Schultz teaches identifying any first portion of the design that have not been analyzed (**[0040]: all results are available; figures 7A-7D: displays portions of the design that have analyzed, so portions that are not listed have not been analyzed**) identifying any second portion of the design that have been exhaustively analyzed for all modes/corners (**[0032] the results are merged only after all of the specified corners are analyzed**), identifying an third portion of the design, the third portions of the design being other than the first and second portions, wherein identifying each third portion includes identifying a level of analysis and a set of modes/corners (**[0026]: datasets; [0027]: area of interest**) and outputting the merged results including metadata regarding the second and third portions (**[0028]: display of results**).

- ii. **Applicant submits**, on pages 23-25 of the remarks, that Schultz does not disclose merging desired information regarding a predetermined set of modes/corners before merging other information because the prior art discloses only determining which areas of interest to display first, second, etc.

**Examiner notes** that the selection of an area of interest entails merging the timing information regarding this area before merging other information. Paragraph **[0035]** discloses that defining an area of interest entails defining the nodes of interest, which generates multiple logic cones. This affects which areas of the circuit will be analyzed using static timing analysis (and in turn, which results will be merged and displayed), as explained in paragraph **[0038]**. By choosing a first area of interest, the designer is choosing which information will be merged and displayed first.

Accordingly, by choosing a second area of interest, the designer is choosing which information will be merged and displayed second (see paragraph [0040]).

iii. **Applicant submits**, on page 25, that Schultz does not disclose the newly added limitation “wherein the merged results associated with the desired information are user-accessible before the merged results associated with the other information.”

**Examiner notes** that the selection of an area of interest entails merging the timing information regarding this area before merging other information. Paragraph [0035] discloses that defining an area of interest entails defining the nodes of interest, which generates multiple logic cones. This affects which areas of the circuit will be analyzed using static timing analysis (and in turn, which results will be merged and displayed), as explained in paragraph [0038]. By choosing a first area of interest, the designer is choosing which information will be merged and displayed first.

Accordingly, by choosing a second area of interest, the designer is choosing which information will be merged and displayed second (see paragraph [0040]).

iv. **Applicant submits**, on page 28 of the remarks, that Shultz does not disclose identifying parts of the design that have not been analyzed for each mode and corner.

**Examiner notes** that Schultz teaches identifying any first portion of the design that have not been analyzed ([0040]: **all results are available; figures 7A-7D: displays portions of the design that have analyzed, so portions that are not listed have not been analyzed**)

v. **Applicant submits** that Ernst fails to disclose indicating for each path a percentage of time that timing violations exist for all analyzed modes and corners.

**Examiner notes** section 2 of the Ernst reference, which discloses performing timing analysis (**Introduction**) for calculating error rates. See also **figures 9 and 10**, which show measured error rates (i.e. percentage of error).

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112**

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. **Claims 1, 3-9, 12-18, 25, 27-30, 32, 34-43, 45, 47-53 and 55-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite** for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding independent **claims 1, 25, 45 and 62**, the limitations “metadata” and “level of analysis” are vague and indefinite. All other claims are rejected by virtue of their dependency.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1, 3-9, 12-19, 25, 27-30, 32-43, 45, 47-53, 55-62, 64-70 and 72-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)** as being unpatentable over **Schultz (US Pub. No. 2004/0044976) in view of Schulz ('Focus Report: Timing Analysis' 2000)**.

Regarding **claims 1, 25, 45 and 62**:

**Schultz discloses** a method of performing static timing analysis on a design, the method comprising:

- a. performing multiple static timing analysis runs with the design, each run using a predetermined set of parameters including a plurality of corners (**[0025]; [0032] multipass timing analysis defines all the corner cases for analysis and performing the static timing analysis for each corner case**).
- b. saving intermediate results from the multiple static timing analysis runs (**[0032] the results from each run are saved in step 214**)
- c. analyzing the intermediate results to construct merged results (**[0027]-[0029]; [0033] multipass analysis performed on saved results**) including identifying any first portion of the design that have not been analyzed (**[0040]; all results are available; figures 7A-7D: displays portions of**

**the design that have analyzed, so portions that are not listed have not been analyzed)**  
identifying any second portion of the design that have been exhaustively analyzed for all modes/corners (**[0032] the results are merged only after all of the specified corners are analyzed**), identifying an third portion of the design, the third portions of the design being other than the first and second portions, wherein identifying each third portion includes identifying a level of analysis and a set of modes/corners (**[0026]: datasets; [0027]: area of interest**) and outputting the merged results including metadata regarding the second and third portions (**[0028]: display of results**)

Regarding **claim 25**, **Schultz discloses** software tools containing instructions to perform the above steps (**[0024]**).

Regarding **claim 62**, **Schultz teaches** reporting parts of the design that are analyzed for each corner as well as for those not (0040): **all results are available; figures 7A-7D: displays portions of the design that have analyzed, so portions that are not listed have not been analyzed**.

**Schultz does not explicitly disclose** performing multiple static analysis runs for a plurality of modes. **Schulz teaches** performing multiple static analysis runs for a plurality of modes (**Schulz: "Under the Hood" 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to combine the teachings of Schulz and Schultz in order to isolate troublesome areas in full-chip analysis and to verify timing passing through test logic (**Schulz: "Under the Hood" 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

Regarding **claims 3, 27, 47, 64**:

**Schultz discloses** sharing information within the multiple static timing analysis runs (**[0024]**). The analysis are run on the same circuit schematic but with different parameters.

Regarding **claims 4, 28, 48, 65**:

**Schulz teaches** performing the multiple static timing analysis runs in parallel (**"Putting Timing Analysis Tools to Work" 7<sup>th</sup> paragraph**). The prior art discloses single-pass analysis of best/worst case conditions in order to minimize cycle time.

**Regarding claims 5, 29, 49, 66:**

**Schultz discloses** performing the multiple static timing analysis runs in series (**figures 2-5**).

**Regarding claims 6, 30, 35, 50 and 67:**

**Schultz discloses** forming a database of intermediate results that can be queried at different levels of detail ([0040]).

**Regarding claims 7, 36, 51 and 68:**

**Schultz discloses** restoring (i.e. reading) the database and making additional queries ([0040]).

**Regarding claims 8, 37, 52 and 69:**

**Schultz discloses** querying from one or more runs ([0040]).

**Regarding claims 9, 38, 53 and 70:**

**Schultz discloses** adding additional results to the saved results of each run during each query ([0032], [0033]).

**Regarding claims 12-13, 39-40, 55-56, 72-73:**

**Schultz discloses** saving intermediate results that can be arbitrarily queried and include a predetermined set of parameters that are used in creating additional results ([0032], [0033]).

**Regarding claims 14, 41, 57, 74:**

**Schultz discloses** the method of claim 1, wherein the saved results include results of predetermined queries ([0032]).

**Regarding claims 15, 42, 58, 75:**

**Schultz discloses** the method of claim 1, wherein the saved results include design corner description ([0026]) and timing delay ([0044]).

**Regarding claims 16, 43, 59, 76:**

**Schultz discloses** reporting the merged results including design corner description and timing information ([0026]) and timing delay ([0044]).

**Regarding claims 17, 32, 60, 77:**

**Schulz teaches** allowing multiple modes and corners to be analyzed simultaneously (**\*Putting Timing Analysis Tools to Work**' 7<sup>th</sup> paragraph). The prior art discloses single-pass analysis of best/worst case conditions in order to minimize cycle time.

**Regarding claims 18, 61, 78:**

**Schulz teaches** modifying a predetermined set of parameters after completing an initial multi-mode/multi-corner analysis, and performing an analysis to provide a what-if capability (**\*More Bells and Whistles**' 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph).

**Regarding claim 19:**

**Schultz discloses** a method of performing static timing analysis on a design, the method comprising:

- a. performing multiple static timing analysis runs with the design, each run using a predetermined set of parameters including a plurality of corners ([0025]; [0032] **multipass timing analysis defines all the corner cases for analysis and performing the static timing analysis for each corner case**).
- b. saving intermediate results from the multiple static timing analysis runs (**[0032] the results from each run are saved in step 214**)
- c. analyzing the intermediate results to construct merged results wherein desired information is merged first ([0027]-[0029]; [0033] **multipass analysis performed on saved results; [0040]**;

**user selects which information will be merged first**) providing at least whether the design has been exhaustively analyzed for a particular corner (**[0032] the results are merged after all of the specified corners are analyzed**)

- d. wherein the merged results associated with the desired information are user-accessible before the merged results associated with the other information (**[0040]: user selects which information will be viewed first**)

**Schultz does not explicitly disclose** performing multiple static analysis runs for a plurality of modes.

**Schulz teaches** performing multiple static analysis runs for a plurality of modes (**Schulz: "Under the Hood" 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to combine the teachings of Schulz and Schultz in order to isolate troublesome areas in full-chip analysis and to verify timing passing through test logic (**Schulz: "Under the Hood" 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

**Regarding claim 33:**

**Schultz discloses** a computer-readable medium comprising instructions, that when executed by a processor, provide instructions for generating merged results from multiple static timing analysis runs, the instructions comprising:

- a. a first set of instructions for performing multiple static timing analysis runs with the design, each run using a predetermined set of parameters including a plurality of corner (**[0025]; [0032] multipass timing analysis defines all the corner cases for analysis and performing the static timing analysis for each corner case**).
- b. a second set of instructions for saving intermediate results from the multiple static timing analysis runs (**[0032] the results from each run are saved in step 214**)
- c. a third set of instructions for analyzing the intermediate results to construct merged results (**[0027]-[0029]; [0033] multipass analysis performed on saved results**) providing at least whether the design has been exhaustively analyzed for a particular corner (**[0032] the results are merged only after all of the specified corners are analyzed**)

- d. a fourth set of instructions for merging desired information regarding a predetermined set of modes/corners before merging other information (**[I0040]: areas of interest**).
- e. wherein the merged results associated with the desired information are user-accessible before the merged results associated with the other information (**[I0040]: user selects which information will be viewed first**)

**Schultz does not explicitly disclose** performing multiple static analysis runs for a plurality of modes.

**Schulz** teaches performing multiple static analysis runs for a plurality of modes (**Schulz: “Under the Hood” 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to combine the teachings of Schulz and Schultz in order to isolate troublesome areas in full-chip analysis and to verify timing passing through test logic (**Schulz: “Under the Hood” 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

**Regarding claim 34:**

**Schultz discloses** a computer-readable medium comprising instructions, that when executed by a processor, provide instructions for generating merged results from multiple static timing analysis runs, the instructions comprising:

- a. performing at least one static timing analysis run with the design, each run using a predetermined set of parameters including a plurality of corners (**[I0025]; [I0032] multipass timing analysis defines all the corner cases for analysis and performing the static timing analysis for each corner case**).
- b. saving intermediate results from each static timing analyses run to external storage (**[I0032] the results from each run are saved in step 214**)
- c. analyzing the intermediate results to construct merged results (**[I0027]-[I0029]; [I0033] multipass analysis performed on saved results**) to provide analysis coverage and path information at multiple levels of detail (**[I0040]**) wherein analyzing includes identifying any first portion of the design that have not been analyzed (**[I0040]: all results are available; figures 7A-7D: displays portions of the design that have analyzed, so portions that are not listed have not been analyzed**) identifying any second portion of the design that have been exhaustively analyzed for

all modes/corners ([0032] the results are merged only after all of the specified corners are analyzed), identifying an third portion of the design, the third portions of the design being other than the first and second portions, wherein identifying each third portion includes identifying a level of analysis and a set of modes/corners ([0026]: datasets; [0027]: area of interest) and outputting the merged results including metadata regarding the second and third portions ([0028]: display of results)

**Schultz does not explicitly disclose** performing multiple static analysis runs for a plurality of modes.

**Schulz teaches** performing multiple static analysis runs for a plurality of modes (**Schulz: "Under the Hood" 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to combine the teachings of Schulz and Schultz in order to isolate troublesome areas in full-chip analysis and to verify timing passing through test logic (**Schulz: "Under the Hood" 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

**Regarding claim 41:**

**Schulz discloses** saving results of predetermined queries (**'A Look Under the Hood' 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph**). If the engine calculates slack and constraints violations, the reports containing this data are then presented to the user.

**Regarding claim 42:**

**Schulz discloses** saving cell delays, net delays, transition times, path reports, bottleneck reports, modes, slack, and constraints (**'An Introduction Please' 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; 'A Look Under the Hood' 2<sup>nd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> paragraphs; 'Putting Timing Analysis Tools to Work' 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

**Regarding claim 43:**

**Schulz discloses** reporting the merged results including cell delays, net delays, transition times, path reports, bottleneck reports, modes, slack, and constraints (**'An Introduction Please' 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; 'A Look Under the Hood' 2<sup>nd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> paragraphs; 'Putting Timing Analysis Tools to Work' 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

5. **Claims 83-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)** as being unpatentable over **Schulz** ('Focus Report: Timing Analysis' 2000) in view of **Schultz** (US Pub. No. 2004/0044976) in view of **Ernst** ("Razor: A Low-Power Pipeline Based on Circuit-Level Timing Speculation").

Regarding claim 83:

**Schulz discloses** a method of performing static timing analysis on a design, the method comprising:

- a. performing multiple static timing analysis runs with the design, each run using a predetermined set of parameters including a mode and corner ('**A Look Under the Hood**' 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph). The prior art discloses tools that are capable of performing minimum/maximum delay analysis (i.e. multiple runs are needed, one to account for the minimum delay and a second to account for the maximum delay) and modal analysis.
- b. saving intermediate results from the multiple static timing analysis runs ('**A Look Under the Hood**', 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Once the runs are completed, the data is collected and presented to the user in reports and various visualization tools.
- c. analyzing the results to determine timing violations (**Introduction: 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph**)

**Schulz does not explicitly disclose** merging the results. **Schultz teaches** merging the results to provide analysis coverage and outputting the merged results (**Schultz: [0029]**). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to combine the teachings of Schulz and Schultz in order to minimize the number of probe pointes necessary to isolate a failure and eliminate manual tracking of schematic and waveforms during root cause debugging (**Schultz: [0011]**). **Schulz and Schultz do not teach** calculating the percentage of times that timing violations exist for all analyzed modes and corners. **Ernst teaches** performing timing analysis (**Ernst: Introduction**), including calculating the timing error rates (**Ernst: section 2, figures 9 and 10**). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Schulz, Schultz, and Ernst in order to provide *in-situ* monitoring of the actual circuit delay (**Ernst: Introduction**).

Regarding claim 84:

**Schulz teaches** performing the multiple static timing analysis runs in parallel (**\*Putting Timing Analysis Tools to Work\*** 7<sup>th</sup> paragraph). The prior art discloses single-pass analysis of best/worst case conditions in order to minimize cycle time.

**Regarding claim 85:**

**Schulz discloses** performing the multiple static timing analysis runs in series (**figures 2-5**).

**Regarding claim 86:**

**Schulz discloses** forming a database of intermediate results that can be queried at different levels of detail ([0040]).

**Regarding claim 87:**

**Schulz discloses** restoring (i.e. reading) the database and making additional queries ([0040]).

**Regarding claim 88:**

**Schulz discloses** querying from one or more runs ([0040]).

**Regarding claim 89:**

**Schulz discloses** adding additional results to the saved results of each run during each query ([0032], [0033]).

**Regarding claims 90 and 91:**

**Schulz discloses** saving intermediate results that can be arbitrarily queried and include a predetermined set of parameters that are used in creating additional results ([0032], [0033]).

**Regarding claim 92:**

**Schultz discloses** the method of claim 1, wherein the saved intermediate results include results of predetermined queries ([0032]).

**Regarding claim 93:**

**Schultz discloses** the method of claim 1, wherein the saved results include design corner description ([0026]) and timing delay ([0044]).

**Regarding claim 94:**

**Schultz discloses** reporting the merged results including design corner description and timing information ([0026]) and timing delay ([0044]).

**Regarding claim 95:**

**Schulz teaches** allowing multiple modes and corners to be analyzed simultaneously (**\*Putting Timing Analysis Tools to Work\*** 7<sup>th</sup> paragraph). The prior art discloses single-pass analysis of best/worst case conditions in order to minimize cycle time.

**Regarding claim 96:**

**Schulz teaches** modifying a predetermined set of parameters after completing an initial multi-mode/multi-corner analysis, and performing an analysis to provide a what-if capability (**\*More Bells and Whistles\*** 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph).

**Conclusion**

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee

Art Unit: 2128

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. **Examiner's Remarks:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in their entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shambhavi Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571) 272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKP

/Kamini S Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128